Received 30 October 2006 Accepted 11 November 2006

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

### Le-Qing Fan,<sup>a</sup>\* Ling Chen<sup>b</sup> and Li-Ming Wu<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Materials Physical Chemistry, Huaqiao University, Quanzhou, Fujian 362021, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: lqfan@hqu.edu.cn

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.028 Å R factor = 0.074 wR factor = 0.213 Data-to-parameter ratio = 22.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# [hexa-µ-iodo-diplumbate(II)]]

catena-Poly[tris(2,2'-bipyridine)nickel(II)

In the title compound,  $\{[Ni(C_{10}H_8N_2)_3][Pb_2I_6]\}_n$ , each  $Pb^{II}$  ion is coordinated by six  $I^-$  ions in a distorted octahedral environment.  $PbI_6$  octahedra are connected by common faces to form a one-dimensional anion chain.

#### Comment

Lead(II) iodide organic-inorganic hybrid complexes are of special interest because they have significant structural, electrical, non-linear optical, and other physical properties (Mitzi *et al.*, 1995; Guloy *et al.*, 2001; Fan *et al.*, 2006). Their anionic structures range from isolated anions to infinite chains, layered perovskites and three-dimensional polymeric networks, which are modulated by the cations (Li *et al.*, 2005; Mercier, 2005; Poglitsch & Weber, 1987). We report here the crystal structure of the title lead(II) iodide complex, (I), with a one-dimensional anion chain.



In (I), there are two crystallographically independent Pb<sup>II</sup> ions in the asymmetric unit. Each is six-coordinated in a distorted octahedral environment by six I<sup>-</sup> ions with Pb–I distances ranging from 3.1561 (12) to 3.2830 (14) Å and *cis* I–Pb–I angles from 81.35 (3) to 102.99 (4)° (Table 1). Adjacent octahedra are joined by common faces (I4/I5/I6 and I1/I2/I3) to form a one-dimensional anion chain [Pb<sub>2</sub>I<sub>6</sub>]<sub>n</sub><sup>2n-</sup> along the *c* axis (Fig. 1). The cation is [Ni(bipyridine)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>, in which each Ni<sup>II</sup> ion is surrounded by six N atoms from three different bipyridine molecules in a distorted octahedral geometry. The anion chain has no significant hydrogen-bonding interactions with the cations.

#### **Experimental**

 $PbI_2$  (184 mg, 0.4 mmol) and NaI-2H<sub>2</sub>O (74 mg, 0.4 mmol) were dissolved in DMF (10 ml). A solution of 2,2'-bipyridine (94 mg, 0.6 mmol) and Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (58 mg, 0.2 mmol) in DMF (10 ml) was added and the solution was stirred for 10 min. Vapor of 2-PrOH was diffused slowly into the resulting solution. After about two weeks, orange crystals of (I) were formed.

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

## metal-organic papers

#### Crystal data

 $[Ni(C_{10}H_8N_2)_3][Pb_2I_6]$   $M_r = 1703.04$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$  a = 17.2337 (14) Å b = 14.7012 (8) Å c = 16.4273 (12) Å  $\beta = 101.409$  (5)° V = 4079.7 (5) Å<sup>3</sup>

#### Data collection

Rigaku Mercury CCD diffractometer  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrystalClear*; Rigaku, 2000)  $T_{min} = 0.332, T_{max} = 1.000$ (expected range = 0.171–0.515)

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.074$   $wR(F^2) = 0.213$  S = 1.049307 reflections 406 parameters H-atom parameters constrained

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Pb1-I1	3.1561 (12)	Pb2-I6	3.2088 (14)
Pb1-I3	3.2203 (12)	Pb2-I2 <sup>i</sup>	3.2332 (14)
Pb1-I5	3.2438 (13)	Pb2-I5	3.2457 (13)
Pb1-I2	3.2560 (14)	Pb2-I3 <sup>i</sup>	3.2617 (13)
Pb1-I6	3.2774 (13)	Pb2-I1 <sup>i</sup>	3.2624 (13)
Pb1–I4	3.2830 (14)	Pb2-I4	3.2661 (16)
I1-Pb1-I3	87.31 (3)	$I6-Pb2-I2^{i}$	97.81 (4)
I1-Pb1-I5	96.73 (4)	I6-Pb2-I5	85.82 (3)
I3-Pb1-I5	90.72 (3)	$I2^{i}-Pb2-I5$	96.84 (4)
I1-Pb1-I2	84.14 (4)	I6-Pb2-I3 <sup>i</sup>	178.89 (4)
I3-Pb1-I2	81.63 (3)	$I2^{i}-Pb2-I3^{i}$	81.35 (3)
I5-Pb1-I2	172.26 (4)	I5-Pb2-I3 <sup>i</sup>	93.55 (3)
I1-Pb1-I6	87.83 (3)	I6-Pb2-I1 <sup>i</sup>	95.76 (3)
I3-Pb1-I6	172.92 (4)	I2 <sup>i</sup> -Pb2-I1 <sup>i</sup>	82.83 (3)
I5-Pb1-I6	84.73 (3)	I5-Pb2-I1 <sup>i</sup>	178.42 (3)
I2-Pb1-I6	102.99 (4)	I3 <sup>i</sup> -Pb2-I1 <sup>i</sup>	84.87 (3)
I1-Pb1-I4	171.49 (4)	I6-Pb2-I4	85.06 (4)
I3-Pb1-I4	101.19 (4)	$I2^{i}-Pb2-I4$	177.11 (4)
I5-Pb1-I4	83.41 (4)	I5-Pb2-I4	83.64 (4)
I2-Pb1-I4	96.88 (4)	I3 <sup>i</sup> -Pb2-I4	95.78 (4)
I6-Pb1-I4	83.70 (4)	$I1^i - Pb2 - I4$	96.61 (4)

Symmetry code: (i)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ .

Z = 4  $D_x = 2.773 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K $\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 13.26 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Prism, orange  $0.15 \times 0.1 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ 

31216 measured reflections 9307 independent reflections 6421 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.058$  $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}$ 

$$\begin{split} w &= 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0949P)^2 \\ &+ 47.3372P] \\ \text{where } P &= (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} &= 0.005 \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{max}} &= 2.23 \text{ e } \text{ Å}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{min}} &= -2.30 \text{ e } \text{ Å}^{-3} \end{split}$$



#### Figure 1

The asymmetric uni of (I), together with additional I atoms to complete the coordination of both Pb atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level and H atoms have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry code: (A)  $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, -\frac{1}{2} + z$ .]

H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined as riding atoms, with C-H = 0.93 Å and  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$ . The highest peak is located 0.96 Å from atom I4 and deepest hole is located 0.78 Å from atom Pb2.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku, 2000); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This work was supported financially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20401013) and the Research Fund of Huaqiao University (No. 06BS216).

#### References

- Bruker (1998). SHELXTL (Version 5.1). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Fan, L.-Q., Wu, L.-M. & Chen, L. (2006). Inorg. Chem. 45, 3149-3151.
- Guloy, A. M., Tang, Z.-J., Miranda, P. B. & Srdanov, V. I. (2001). *Adv. Mater.* **13**, 833–837.
- Li, M.-T., Liao, Q.-L., Fu, X.-C. & Wang, C.-G. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m1396– m1397.
- Mercier, N. (2005). CrystEngComm, 7, 429-432.
- Mitzi, D. B., Wang, S., Feild, C. A., Chess, C. A. & Guloy, A. M. (1995). *Science*, **267**, 1473–1476.
- Poglitsch, A. & Weber, D. (1987). J. Chem. Phys. 87, 6373-6378.
- Rigaku (2000). CrystalClear. Version 1.3. Rigaku Corporation, Akishima, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.